

## Refine Search

---

### Search Results -

Terms	Documents
L8 and (L2 or L4)	48

---

**Database:**

- US Pre-Grant Publication Full-Text Database
- US Patents Full-Text Database
- US OCR Full-Text Database
- EPO Abstracts Database
- JPO Abstracts Database
- Derwent World Patents Index
- IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletins

**Search:**

---

### Search History

---

**DATE:** Tuesday, November 08, 2005 [Printable Copy](#) [Create Case](#)

<u>Set Name</u>	<u>Query</u>	<u>Hit Count</u>	<u>Set Name</u>
side by side			result set
<u>L9</u>	L8 and (L2 or L4)	48	<u>L9</u>
<u>L8</u>	715/501.1.ccls.	1167	<u>L8</u>
<u>L7</u>	L2 and approval\$1	136	<u>L7</u>
<u>L6</u>	L5 and approval\$1	127	<u>L6</u>
<u>L5</u>	L4 and (peer near review\$3)	142	<u>L5</u>
<u>L4</u>	L1 and comment\$1	1911	<u>L4</u>
<u>L3</u>	L2 and (document\$1 same submi\$8)	26	<u>L3</u>
<u>L2</u>	L1 and (peer near review\$3)	171	<u>L2</u>
<u>L1</u>	document\$1 same review\$3	8181	<u>L1</u>

END OF SEARCH HISTORY

09/825,970

## Hit List

First Hit	Clear	Generate Collection	Print	Fwd Refs	Bkwd Refs
Generate OACS					

Search Results - Record(s) 1 through 48 of 48 returned.

1. Document ID: US 20050216825 A1

Using default format because multiple data bases are involved.

L9: Entry 1 of 48

File: PGPB

Sep 29, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050216825

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050216825 A1

TITLE: Local storage of script-containing content

PUBLICATION-DATE: September 29, 2005

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME

CITY

STATE

COUNTRY

Teague, Charles J.

Belmont

MA

US

US-CL-CURRENT: 715/501.1; 717/115

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KWIC	Drawn D
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	---------

2. Document ID: US 20050216480 A1

L9: Entry 2 of 48

File: PGPB

Sep 29, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050216480.

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050216480 A1

TITLE: Method, software application and system for providing benchmark data

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KWIC	Drawn D
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	---------

3. Document ID: US 20050198202 A1

L9: Entry 3 of 48

File: PGPB

Sep 8, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050198202

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050198202 A1

TITLE: Method for causing server to provide client computers with annotation functions for enabling users of the client computers to view object-based documents with annotations

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

4. Document ID: US 20050166137 A1

L9: Entry 4 of 48

File: PGPB

Jul 28, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050166137

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050166137 A1

TITLE: Systems and methods for analyzing documents

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

5. Document ID: US 20050149852 A1

L9: Entry 5 of 48

File: PGPB

Jul 7, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050149852

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050149852 A1

TITLE: Clinical trial data management system and method

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

6. Document ID: US 20050060643 A1

L9: Entry 6 of 48

File: PGPB

Mar 17, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050060643

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050060643 A1

TITLE: DOCUMENT SIMILARITY DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

7. Document ID: US 20040210830 A1

L9: Entry 7 of 48

File: PGPB

Oct 21, 2004

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040210830  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20040210830 A1

TITLE: Discoverability and navigation of hyperlinks via tabs

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

8. Document ID: US 20040078757 A1

L9: Entry 8 of 48

File: PGPB

Apr 22, 2004

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040078757  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20040078757 A1

TITLE: Detection and processing of annotated anchors

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

9. Document ID: US 20030196174 A1

L9: Entry 9 of 48

File: PGPB

Oct 16, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030196174  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030196174 A1

TITLE: Discoverability and navigation of hyperlinks via tabs

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

10. Document ID: US 20030163801 A1

L9: Entry 10 of 48

File: PGPB

Aug 28, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030163801  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030163801 A1

TITLE: Computer-based method for defining a patch in computer source code including conditional compilation cell groups

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

11. Document ID: US 20030145310 A1

L9: Entry 11 of 48

File: PGPB

Jul 31, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030145310  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030145310 A1

TITLE: Computer memory structure for storing original source information and associated interpretative information

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KMIC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

12. Document ID: US 20030145282 A1

L9: Entry 12 of 48

File: PGPB

Jul 31, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030145282  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030145282 A1

TITLE: Computer-based method for parsing and hashing source information including a combined grammar

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KMIC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

13. Document ID: US 20030023642 A1

L9: Entry 13 of 48

File: PGPB

Jan 30, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030023642  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030023642 A1

TITLE: Method and system for marking writings online

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KMIC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

14. Document ID: US 20020138510 A1

L9: Entry 14 of 48

File: PGPB

Sep 26, 2002

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20020138510  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20020138510 A1

TITLE: Method, system, and program for tracking quality assurance processes

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KMIC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

 15. Document ID: US 20020107883 A1

L9: Entry 15 of 48

File: PGPB

Aug 8, 2002

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20020107883

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20020107883 A1

TITLE: Distributed visual communications content development method and system

---

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KMC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	-----	----------

---

 16. Document ID: US 20020083090 A1

L9: Entry 16 of 48

File: PGPB

Jun 27, 2002

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20020083090

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20020083090 A1

TITLE: Document management system

---

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KMC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	-----	----------

---

 17. Document ID: US 20020059343 A1

L9: Entry 17 of 48

File: PGPB

May 16, 2002

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20020059343

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20020059343 A1

TITLE: Client apparatus and recording medium that records a program thereof

---

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KMC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	-----	----------

---

 18. Document ID: US 20010016851 A1

L9: Entry 18 of 48

File: PGPB

Aug 23, 2001

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20010016851

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20010016851 A1

TITLE: Archiving and retrieval method and apparatus

## Hit List

First Hit	Clear	Generate Collection	Print	FwdiRefs	BkwdiRefs
Generate OACS					

Search Results - Record(s) 1 through 26 of 26 returned.

1. Document ID: US 20050240428 A1

Using default format because multiple data bases are involved.

L3: Entry 1 of 26

File: PGPB

Oct 27, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050240428

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050240428 A1

TITLE: System for automating and managing an IP environment

PUBLICATION-DATE: October 27, 2005

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	COUNTRY
Gabrick, John J.	Pittsburgh	PA	US
Elston, Cassius	Sammamish	WA	US
Monfradi, Charles	Wheeling	WV	US
Infantino, Mark	Washington	PA	US
Sarnowski, Michael R.	Bridgeville	PA	US
Formica, Andrew	Bridgeport	WV	US

US-CL-CURRENT: 705/1

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	---------

2. Document ID: US 20050191731 A1

L3: Entry 2 of 26

File: PGPB

Sep 1, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050191731

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050191731 A1

TITLE: Methods for obtaining and using haplotype data

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	---------

3. Document ID: US 20050187797 A1

L3: Entry 3 of 26

File: PGPB

Aug 25, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050187797  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050187797 A1

TITLE: Method and system for consolidating and distributing information

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

4. Document ID: US 20050177403 A1

L3: Entry 4 of 26

File: PGPB

Aug 11, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050177403  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050177403 A1

TITLE: System and method for measuring and controlling the quality of medical consulting reports

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

5. Document ID: US 20050097016 A1

L3: Entry 5 of 26

File: PGPB

May 5, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050097016  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050097016 A1

TITLE: System and method for project designing and developing a procurement and accounts payable system

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

---

6. Document ID: US 20050075832 A1

L3: Entry 6 of 26

File: PGPB

Apr 7, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050075832  
PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new  
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050075832 A1

TITLE: System and method for continuous data analysis of an ongoing clinical trial

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D](#)

7. Document ID: US 20050028087 A1

L3: Entry 7 of 26

File: PGPB

Feb 3, 2005

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20050028087

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20050028087 A1

TITLE: Systems and methods for contextual mark-up of formatted documents

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

 8. Document ID: US 20040267458 A1

L3: Entry 8 of 26

File: PGPB

Dec 30, 2004

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040267458

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20040267458 A1

TITLE: Methods for obtaining and using haplotype data

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

 9. Document ID: US 20040255265 A1

L3: Entry 9 of 26

File: PGPB

Dec 16, 2004

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040255265.

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20040255265 A1

TITLE: System and method for project management

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KM/C	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

 10. Document ID: US 20040049490 A1

L3: Entry 10 of 26

File: PGPB

Mar 11, 2004

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040049490

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20040049490 A1

TITLE: Intelligent document management system

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KWIC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

11. Document ID: US 20040006553 A1

L3: Entry 11 of 26

File: PGPB

Jan 8, 2004

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20040006553

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20040006553 A1

TITLE: Method and apparatus of assuring informed consent while conducting secure clinical trials

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KWIC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

12. Document ID: US 20030208477 A1

L3: Entry 12 of 26

File: PGPB

Nov 6, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030208477

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030208477 A1

TITLE: Medical multimedia database system

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KWIC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

13. Document ID: US 20030202638 A1

L3: Entry 13 of 26

File: PGPB

Oct 30, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030202638

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030202638 A1

TITLE: Testing an operational support system (OSS) of an incumbent provider for compliance with a regulatory scheme

Full	Title	Citation	Front	Review	Classification	Date	Reference	Sequences	Attachments	Claims	KWIC	Drawn D.
------	-------	----------	-------	--------	----------------	------	-----------	-----------	-------------	--------	------	----------

---

14. Document ID: US 20030192029 A1

L3: Entry 14 of 26

File: PGPB

Oct 9, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030192029

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030192029 A1

TITLE: System and method for software development

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

---

15. Document ID: US 20030164849 A1

L3: Entry 15 of 26

File: PGPB

Sep 4, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030164849.

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030164849 A1

TITLE: Systems and methods for facilitating the peer review process

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

---

16. Document ID: US 20030110067 A1

L3: Entry 16 of 26

File: PGPB

Jun 12, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030110067

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030110067 A1

TITLE: Accelerated process improvement framework

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

---

17. Document ID: US 20030086536 A1

L3: Entry 17 of 26

File: PGPB

May 8, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030086536

PGPUB-FILING-TYPE: new

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20030086536 A1

TITLE: Metrics-related testing of an operational support system (OSS) of an incumbent provider for compliance with a regulatory scheme

[Full](#) | [Title](#) | [Citation](#) | [Front](#) | [Review](#) | [Classification](#) | [Date](#) | [Reference](#) | [Sequences](#) | [Attachments](#) | [Claims](#) | [KWMC](#) | [Drawn D.](#)

---

18. Document ID: US 20030014428 A1

L3: Entry 18 of 26

File: PGPB

Jan 16, 2003

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20030014428

09/825,270


[Subscribe \(Full Service\)](#) [Register \(Limited Service, Free\)](#) [Login](#)
 The ACM Digital Library  The Guide

document review AND peer review

**SEARCH**
[Feedback](#) [Report a problem](#) [Satisfaction survey](#)
Terms used document review AND peer review

Found 46,909 of 166,357

 Sort results   Save results to a Binder
[Try an Advanced Search](#)
 Display   Search Tips
[Try this search in The ACM Guide](#)
 results   Open results in a new window

Results 1 - 20 of 200

Result page: [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [next](#)

Best 200 shown

Relevance scale

## **1 Using peer review as a vehicle for communication skill development and active learning**

Karen Anewalt

December 2005 **Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges**, Volume 21 Issue 2**Publisher:** Consortium for Computing Sciences in CollegesFull text available: [pdf\(187.85 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#)

There is a renewed interest in incorporating peer review activities into computer science courses in response to current interest in active learning and group projects. The peer review process models real world professional activities, provides students with the opportunity to learn how to deal with criticism and how to provide constructive criticism to others, and can develop team skills. Without peer review or similar activities, students will receive little practical experience in building th ...

## **2 Technology to enable learning I: Online student peer reviews**

William J. Wolfe

October 2004 **Proceedings of the 5th conference on Information technology education****Publisher:** ACM PressFull text available: [pdf\(177.65 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

This paper describes an online method of implementing student peer reviews. The results of applying the method to several courses is also discussed. Each week students accessed each others' assignments, as posted on individual web pages, and submitted scores and comments on the course web site. The course web site did all the bookkeeping, giving students instant access to the reviews they received. Statistics for a particular software engineering class of 34 students are presented.

**Keywords:** computer based instruction, online, peer review, web

## **3 Using peer review in teaching computing**

Edward F. Gehringer, Donald D. Chinn, Manuel A. Pérez-Quiñones, Mark A. Ardis

February 2005 **ACM SIGCSE Bulletin , Proceedings of the 36th SIGCSE technical symposium on Computer science education SIGCSE '05**, Volume 37 Issue 1**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(158.65 KB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

For generations, the academic community has relied on peer review as a way of encouraging scholarship and enhancing the knowledge base. Peer review has been widely used in the classroom since at least the 1970s, with hundreds of papers on its use in diverse academic fields appearing in the literature (for a comprehensive survey, see [1]). Its use appears to be on the upswing, given the current interest in active learning and teamwork. In computer science, peer review seems to have very broad app ...

**Keywords:** UML, assessment, collaborative learning, peer review

#### 4 A design for team peer code review

 Deborah A. Trytten

February 2005 **ACM SIGCSE Bulletin , Proceedings of the 36th SIGCSE technical symposium on Computer science education SIGCSE '05**, Volume 37 Issue 1

Publisher: ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(183.26 KB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

The software industry needs our graduates to have significant and meaningful experiences with teamwork. A new design has been developed for a teamwork exercise based on peer code review. This design uses the three Ss of building assignments for cooperative learning: Same problem, Specific choices, and Simultaneous report. Students perform peer code review individually, and within and between stable small groups. The code can be sanitized student work or may be altered by the instructor to meet s ...

**Keywords:** cooperative learning, education, peer code review, software engineering, team work

#### 5 Community design of DLESE's collections review policy: a technological frames analysis

 Michael Khoo

January 2001 **Proceedings of the 1st ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on Digital libraries**

Publisher: ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(191.16 KB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [citations](#), [index terms](#)

In this paper, I describe the design of a collection review policy for the Digital Library for Earth System Education (DLESE). A distinctive feature of DLESE as a digital library is the &ldquo;DLESE community&rquo;, composed of voluntary members who contribute metadata and resource reviews to DLESE. As the DLESE community is open, the question of how to evaluate community contributions is a crucial part of the review policy design process. In this paper, technological frames theory is used ...

**Keywords:** content analysis, decision making, design, digital library, ethnography, peer review, technological frames

#### 6 A Peer Review experience

 M. Lloyd Edwards

October 1981 **Proceedings of the 9th annual ACM SIGUCCS conference on User services**

Publisher: ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(311.15 KB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [index terms](#)

The following statements are taken from a brochure prepared by the ACM SIGUCC Peer

Review Committee to acquaint University Computer Centers with Peer Review. Peer Review is a review of policies, procedures, and services provided in a specific college or university computing center. The goal of a Peer Review is to determine the strengths and weaknesses of an installation. The SIGUCC Peer Review Committee maintains a list of valid peer reviewers, people actively involved in the del ...

## 7 A digital libraries for education: Partnership reviewing: a cooperative approach for peer review of complex educational resources

John Weatherley, Tamara Sumner, Michael Khoo, Michael Wright, Marcel Hoffmann  
July 2002 **Proceedings of the 2nd ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on Digital libraries**

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  [pdf\(672.00 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [citations](#), [index terms](#)

Review of digital educational resources, such as course modules, simulations, and data analysis tools, can differ from review of scholarly articles, in the heterogeneity and complexity of the resources themselves. The Partnership Review Model, as demonstrated in two cases, appears to promote cooperative interactions between distributed resource reviewers, enabling reviewers to effectively divide up the task of reviewing complex resources with little explicit coordination. The shared structural o ...

**Keywords:** computer-mediated communication, distributed cognition, educational digital libraries, peer review, scholarly publishing, second order interactional effects

## 8 Reciprocal peer reviews

Sarah L. Sullivan  
March 1994 **ACM SIGCSE Bulletin , Proceedings of the twenty-fifth SIGCSE symposium on Computer science education SIGCSE '94**, Volume 26 Issue 1

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  [pdf\(452.42 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [citations](#), [index terms](#)

Reciprocal peer reviews empower students to master computer science concepts. This industry practice employs peer-to-peer communication within an egoless team structure. As pedagogy, reviews provide a human-interaction laboratory setting where students: hone teamwork and communication skills, master the peer review process, and learn to learn from each other.

## 9 The evolution of an information development process

Glenn M. D'Amore  
October 1999 **Proceedings of the 17th annual international conference on Computer documentation**

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  [pdf\(865.49 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

In today's business climate of thin profit margins and lean staff, a Documentation manager faces the continual challenge of proving that writers are efficient and productive. One way to do this is to follow an effective information development process with every project. As a manager, a documented process helps you:Repeat successful project activitiesCreate realistic schedulesTrain new staff quicklyMove ...

**Keywords:** capability maturity model, process, project management

## 10 Education & training track: Using peer reviews in teaching framework development

Amir Zeid, Moemen Elswidi

**May 2005 Proceedings of the 27th international conference on Software engineering****Publisher:** ACM PressFull text available: [pdf\(150.67 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [index terms](#)

Framework development is one of the most challenging software development tasks. Teaching framework development is even more challenging. In this paper, we propose a creative process to teach framework development. We propose using peer review in the process. We present the process and some examples and findings out of our experience.

**Keywords:** framework development, object-oriented software engineering**11 A process-oriented methodology for assessing and improving software****trustworthiness**

Edward Amoroso, Carol Taylor, John Watson, Jonathan Weiss

November 1994 **Proceedings of the 2nd ACM Conference on Computer and communications security****Publisher:** ACM PressFull text available: [pdf\(1.18 MB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

A high-level, technical summary of the Trusted Software Methodology (TSM) is provided in this paper. The trust principles and trust classes that comprise the TSM are presented and several engineering investigations and case studies surrounding the TSM are outlined. Appendices are included that highlight important areas of the TSM.

**12 Software documentation and readability: a proposed process improvement****Nuzhat J. Haneef**May 1998 **ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes**, Volume 23 Issue 3**Publisher:** ACM PressFull text available: [pdf\(341.05 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [index terms](#)

The paper is based on the premise that the productivity and quality of software development and maintenance, particularly in large and long term projects, is related to software readability. Software readability depends on such things as coding conventions and system overview documentation. Existing mechanisms to ensure readability --- for example, peer reviews --- are not sufficient. The paper proposes that software organizations or projects institute a readability/documentation group, similar ...

**13 MIT model analysis program: what we have learned about policy model review****David O. Wood**December 1986 **Proceedings of the 18th conference on Winter simulation****Publisher:** ACM PressFull text available: [pdf\(508.67 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [references](#), [citations](#), [index terms](#)**14 Information sharing and access: The multiple views of inter-organizational authoring****David W. McDonald, Chunhua Weng, John H. Gennari**November 2004 **Proceedings of the 2004 ACM conference on Computer supported cooperative work****Publisher:** ACM PressFull text available: [pdf\(935.59 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

Collaborative authoring is a common workplace task. Yet, despite improvements in word processors, communication software, and file sharing, many problems continue to plague co-authors. We conducted a qualitative study in a setting where participants are loosely connected, physically separated, and work together over a period of 4-9 months to author

a complex technical document-a clinical trial protocol. Our study differs from most prior work in that the collaboration is longer-lived, and that ...

**Keywords:** clinical trials, collaborative authoring, field study, medical informatics

**15 Review without violence**

 Lori Buffum

November 1977 **Proceedings of the 5th annual ACM SIGUCCS conference on User services**

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(349.64 KB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [index terms](#)

The review process for a technical document is a harrowing experience for all involved. Some techniques do exist however, that can ease the pains and smooth the path to a well-done and accurate final product. The responsibility for using these techniques rests with many people: the editor who solicits review comments, the technical expert who must correct inaccuracies, the interested party who has another perspective on the subject, the manager who must approve the piece. Each of these peop ...

**16 A project planning and development process for small teams**

 Marc Rettig, Gary Simons

October 1993 **Communications of the ACM**, Volume 36 Issue 10

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(1.24 MB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

**Keywords:** software teams

**17 ITiCSE 2001 working group reports: Resources for instructors of capstone courses in computing**

 Tony Clear, Michael Goldweber, Frank H. Young, Paul M. Leidig, Kirk Scott

December 2001 **ACM SIGCSE Bulletin**, Volume 33 Issue 4

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(2.17 MB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [citations](#)

Most computing programs now have some form of integrative or capstone course in which students undertake a significant project under supervision. There are many different models for such courses and conducting these courses is a complex task. This report is intended to assist instructors of capstone courses, particularly those new to the model of teaching and learning inherent in the capstone course. This paper discusses important issues that must be addressed when conducting capstone courses. Th ...

**18 ITiCSE 2001 working group reports: Resources for instructors of capstone courses in computing**

 Tony Clear, Michael Goldweber, Frank H. Young, Paul M. Leidig, Kirk Scott

December 2001 **Working group reports from ITiCSE on Innovation and technology in computer science education**

**Publisher:** ACM Press

Full text available:  pdf(2.17 MB) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [index terms](#)

Most computing programs now have some form of integrative or capstone course in which students undertake a significant project under supervision. There are many different models for such courses and conducting these courses is a complex task. This report is

intended to assist instructors of capstone courses, particularly those new to the model of teaching and learning inherent in the capstone course. This paper discusses important issues that must be addressed when conducting capstone courses. Th ...

**19 Verification, validation and accreditation: Capability maturity models support of modeling and simulation verification, validation, and accreditation**

Candace L. Conwell, Rosemary Enright, Marcia A. Stutzman

December 2000 **Proceedings of the 32nd conference on Winter simulation**

**Publisher:** Society for Computer Simulation International

Full text available:  [pdf\(418.67 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [citations](#)

Both government and industry are involved in the acquisition and development of modeling and simulation (M&S) products. The effectiveness and maturity of an organization's acquisition process directly affect the cost, schedule, and quality of the M&S products that are delivered to the user. When M&S program sponsors implement best practices throughout acquisition, critical verification, and validation (V&V) tasks can be conducted without inordinate cost. Department of Defense (DoD) Instruction 5 ...

**20 Automatic assignment management and peer evaluation**

Abhijeet Trivedi, Dulal C. Kar, Holly Patterson-McNeill

April 2003 **Journal of Computing Sciences in Colleges**, Volume 18 Issue 4

**Publisher:** Consortium for Computing Sciences in Colleges

Full text available:  [pdf\(43.80 KB\)](#) Additional Information: [full citation](#), [abstract](#), [references](#), [citations](#), [index terms](#)

Students in programming courses can learn from peer evaluation of their work. Also a student can learn by evaluating other students' works and can find many useful techniques in them to improve his/her programming skill. There are many tools available for online course management. However, none of them fully supports anonymous peer evaluation of assignments. In this work, we present a Web-based system that can be used to manage peer evaluation of assignments electronically and anonymously. The p ...

Results 1 - 20 of 200

Result page: [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [next](#)

The ACM Portal is published by the Association for Computing Machinery. Copyright © 2005 ACM, Inc.

[Terms of Usage](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Code of Ethics](#) [Contact Us](#)

Useful downloads:  [Adobe Acrobat](#)  [QuickTime](#)  [Windows Media Player](#)  [Real Player](#)